

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE			9899
09/477,910	01/05/2000	PATRIK LARSSON	LARSSON-20-1 9899	
7500 11/18/2003			EXAMINER	
JEAN-MARC ZIMMERMAN			JAMAL, ALEXANDER	
226 ST PAUL STREET			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			2643	6
			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner							
Examiner Art Unit Alexander Jamal 2643		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Alexander Jamal -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of terminary be available under the provisions of 3° CR* 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely flied Extension of the margy be available under the provisions of 3° CR* 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely flied If the period for reply sepective down, he maintening addressly precided valory minimum of histy (30) days will be considered finely. If the period for reply sepective days he maintening and active year of the period for reply sepective days he maintening reply will be considered finely. If the period for reply sepective days he maintening and active years and the period of the period of the period of the communication. If the period for reply sepective days he maintening and a valory of the period of the communication. If the period for reply sepective days he maintening and a valory of the period of the communication. A problem that a communication of the period of the communication of the period of the communication. A period for the separation of the period of the communication. A period for the above claim(s) is independent of the application. A period for the above claim(s) is larger withdrawn from consideration. Signal of the above claim(s) is larger epided of the communication. Claim(s) 19-22 kare allowed. Claim(s) 19-22 kare allowed. Claim(s) 19-22 kare allowed. Claim(s) 19-22 kare allowed. Claim(s) 19-22 kare epided to. Replacement drawing(s) filed on islater epided. The darwing(s) filed on islater epided on the problem of the period of the	•	09/477,910	LARSSON ET AL.				
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions for term myb a wealible under the provision of 3 or Rt 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a repty be timely fired after 50 k(f) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication of 1 or Rt 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a repty be timely fired after 50 k(f) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication of 1 or Rt 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a repty be timely fired after 50 k(f) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication of the part of	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Exercised of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - Exercised of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed - Exercised or play specified above, he maximum statutory principle will expire 3X (8) MONTH(S from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the mailing date of the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, he maximum statutory principle will apply and will acpire 3X (8) MONTH(S from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to imply which he set to exercised period for imply will by status, access the application become ASAPMONED, 53 (31.5.5.4) as a considered timely will be considered timely. - Failure to imply which the set to exercise the mailing date of this communication, even if simely filed, may reduce they received the mailing date of this communication, even if simely filed, may reduce they redu		Alexander Jamal	2643				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensive of time may be available under the provision of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (8) MCNTTS from the mailing date of the communication. It is a standard to the provision of the communication of the communicatio	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 19-22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 3) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Altachment(s) 10 Notice o	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replying 1 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing. 	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 19-22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *O None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 S	eptember 2003.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5] Claim(s) 19-22 is/are allowed. 6] Claim(s) 19-22 is/are allowed. 6] Claim(s) j-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies on treceived. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 19(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Shee	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 19-22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 3) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3. Copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 19-22 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some 'C □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.	Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) 19-22 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s)	4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	on.					
6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cecepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Cepies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) <u>19-22</u> is/are allowed.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Altachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Altachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	r election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Partisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Altachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		Rammer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF IOTH PTO-152.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•		N (4) (6)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received in the certified copies not received in the sentence of the specification application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. and/or 121 since a specific				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of Informal F					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-12, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crochiere et al. (5664011), and further in view of Golla et al. (5724395).
 - a. Claim 1: Crochiere describes an echo canceller (which would also cancel out near-end-crosstalk) comprising:
 - 1) A first FIR filter (Fig. 2, reference 128)
 - 4) A means to subtract the filtered output signal from the original input signal (Fig. 1 and Col. 3, paragraph 8).

However Cochiere does not mention:

- 2) A second FIR filter
- 3) Means to partition the input data signal such that a portion of the input signal is processed by each FIR filter and the second portion of processed data (processed by the second FIR) has a larger data size than the first portion of processed data (processed by the first FIR).

Golla teaches that using a single higher resolution filter requires more memory (which requires more power), and more integrated circuit space (Col 1, paragraph. 4-5).

Art Unit: 2643

In order to help solve these problems Golla teaches the use of two smaller resolution FIR filters in which a data word is partitioned and a most-significant-bit portion is filtered by one FIR filter, and the remaining least-significant-bits are filtered by the second FIR filter (Fig. 3, Col.4, lines 49-58). Golla shows an additional embodiment of his teachings in which a second FIR filter 2 (Fig. 4) processes a second portion of the partitioned data signal (XM(n)) comprising A0-A7 and B0-B7 that has a data size greater than the first portion of partitioned data (XL(n)) that is processed by a first FIR filter (Col 4 lines 58-64). In view of Golla, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to apply Golla's solution when faced with the problems of higher resolution filters taking up too much space and drawing too much power, for the purpose of reducing the size and power draw of the circuitry. In view of Golla's solution applied to Cochiere's design it would have been obvious to add:

- 2) A second FIR filter
- 3) Means to partition the input data signal such that a portion of the input signal is processed by each FIR filter and the second portion of processed data (processed by the second FIR) has a larger data size than the first portion of processed data (processed by the first FIR).
- b. Claim 2: Crochiere mentions an adaptable filter (Col. 1 paragraph 5) that is able to adjust its filter output values by adjusting it's filter coefficients based on an error signal.
- c. Claim 3: Golla's solution comprises two separate integrated circuits (Figs. 1-4).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/477,910

Art Unit: 2643

- d. Claims: 4 and 5: Crochiere's echo canceller has an FIR filter containing a plurality of registers (filter elements).
- e. Claim 6: Golla's solution claims a plurality of processors arranged in parallel and coupled to the means for splitting the input signal such that each portion of the partitioned input signal is sent to a separate processor (Golla's Claim 5).
- f. Claim 7: Golla's solution describes that the input signal partition separates the most significant bits and the least significant bits. (Fig. 3 and Col 4, lines 49-58).
- g. Claims 8 and 9: Crochiere describes subtracting the filtered signal from the original input signal (Abstract). With Golla's solution in mind, it would be obvious to subtract each separate filtered partition from the original input signal.
- h. Claims 10 and 11: Crochiere's device includes both an adaptive and non-adaptive filter, with both filters being used to remove portions of an echo signal. When applying Grolla's solution it would be obvious that either adaptive or non-adaptive filters could be used in the dual filter configuration.
- i. Claim 12: Both Crochiere and Grolla mention the use of digital filters.
- j. Claims 16 and 17: Crochiere's device includes taps, delay lines and registers (Fig. 3 and Col. 6) in order to vary the output values of a filter.
- 3. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crochiere et al. (5664011) and Golla et al. (5724395) as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Maulik et al. (6260053). Crochiere and Golla describe applicant's claim 1, but do not mention the use of:
 - a. using both FIR filters in direct-form

Application/Control Number: 09/477,910 Page 5

Art Unit: 2643

b. using both FIR filters in transpose-form

c. using one FIR filter in direct form and the other in transpose form

Maulik teaches that a scalable filter architecture can be implemented in transpose form, and that a scalable filter architecture allows parallel and distributed processing (Col 3, lines 40-53). Maulik also teaches that the transfer function of a direct form filter is identical to that of a transpose form of the filter (Figs. 4-5 Col 6, line 53 to Col. 7 line 26). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art, that two FIR filters, used to process distributed data could each be configured in any combination of direct or transpose-form in order to perform their function.

6. Claim 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crochiere et al. (5664011) and Golla et al. (5724395) as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Walker et al. (5570423). Crochiere and Golla describe applicant's claim 1, but do not specify using either fixed or floating-point numbers in the filters. Walker teaches that fixed-point numbers may be used in an FIR filter used in an echo canceller circuit. He also teaches that the same gain can be achieved with a less-expensive fixed-point FIR filter as with a more expensive floating point FIR filter. (Col. 9, line 64 – Col. 10, line 13). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that fixed-point numbers could be used when implementing the FIR filters in order to provide a more cost effective solution.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Art Unit: 2643

The Prior art of record (Golla, Maulik, Walker, Crochiere) fails to teach:

a. Claim 19: A method for partitioning data words in an echo/near-end-crosstalk cancellation circuit for a communications system, comprising the steps of:

determining a first bit resolution from a predetermined number of a plurality of echo/near-end-crosstalk (E/N) signals having a lowest amplitude;

determining a second bit resolution by subtracting the first bit resolution from a bit resolution of a single signal from a plurality of E/N signals having a highest amplitude; and

partitioning the plurality of E/N signals such that a first portion is processed by a first FIR filter having a data path identical to the first bit resolution, and a second portion comprised of bits having a data size exceeding the bit width of the first FIR filter is processed by a second FIR filter having a data path identical to the second bit resolution.

b. Claim 22: A method for partitioning a data signal, comprising the steps of:

determining from a plurality of echo/near-end-crosstalk (E/N) signals a maximum bit resolution associated with a single signal having a highest amplitude;

selecting a first FIR filter and a second FIR filter each having a bit resolution equal to at least half of the maximum bit resolution; and

partitioning the plurality of E/N signals such that a first portion is processed by the first FIR filter, and a second portion comprised of bits having a

Art Unit: 2643

data size greater than the bit width of the first FIR filter are processed by the second FIR filter.

These limitations, in combination with the remaining limitations of claim 19 are not taught nor suggested by the prior art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance".

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 10, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Concerning applicant's arguments:

- 1. Claim rejections 35 USC 112
 - a. First paragraph: Concerning claims 16 and 17, both claims now have sufficient antecedant basis for control means. The 35 USC 112 rejection is withdrawn for both claims.
- 2. Claim rejections 35 USC 103.
 - a. As per amended Claim 1 being unpatentable over Crochiere et al. (5664011), and further in view of Golla et al. (5724395):

Art Unit: 2643

Crochiere describes an echo canceller (which would also cancel out near-end-crosstalk) comprising:

- 1) A first FIR filter (Fig. 2, reference 128)
- 4) A means to subtract the filtered output signal from the original input signal (Fig. 1 and Col. 3, paragraph 8).

However Cochiere does not mention:

- 2) A second FIR filter
- 3) Means to partition the input data signal such that a portion of the input signal is processed by each FIR filter and the second portion of processed data (processed by the second FIR) has a larger data size than the first portion of processed data (processed by the first FIR).

Golla teaches that using a single higher resolution filter requires more memory (which requires more power), and more integrated circuit space (Col 1, paragraph. 4-5). In order to help solve these problems Golla teaches the use of two smaller resolution FIR filters in which a data word is partitioned and a most-significant-bit portion is filtered by one FIR filter, and the remaining least-significant-bits are filtered by the second FIR filter (Fig. 3, Col.4, lines 49-58). Golla shows an additional embodiment of his teachings in which a second FIR filter 2 (Fig. 4) processes a second portion of the partitioned data signal (XM(n)) comprising A0-A7 and B0-B7 that has a data size greater than the first portion of partitioned data (XL(n)) that is processed by a first FIR filter (Col 4 lines 58-64). In view of Golla, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to apply Golla's solution when faced with the problems of higher resolution filters taking up too

Art Unit: 2643

much space and drawing too much power, for the purpose of reducing the size and power draw of the circuitry. In view of Golla's solution applied to Cochiere's design it would have been obvious to add:

- 2) A second FIR filter
- 3) Means to partition the input data signal such that a portion of the input signal is processed by each FIR filter and the second portion of processed data (processed by the second FIR) has a larger data size than the first portion of processed data (processed by the first FIR).
- b. Regarding Claims 2-18: Since Golla's teachings still read on the amended claim 1, it remains rejected. As such, Claims 2-18 remain rejected for the reasons specified in the office action mailed on 6-24-2003.
- c. As per Claims 13-15 being unpatentable over Crochiere et al. (5664011) and Golla et al. (5724395) as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Maulik et al. (6260053). Regarding
 Claims 13-15: Since Golla's teachings still read on the amended claim 1, it remains rejected.
 As such, Claims 13-15 remain rejected for the reasons specified in the office action mailed on 6-24-2003.
 - d. As per Claim 18 being unpatentable over Crochiere et al. (5664011) and Golla et al.
 (5724395) as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Walker et al. (5570423). Regarding
 Claim 18: Since Golla's teachings still read on the amended claim 1, it remains rejected. As

Art Unit: 2643

such, Claim 18 remains rejected for the reasons specified in the office action mailed on 6-24-2003.

Conclusion:

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 703-305-3433. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 703-305-4708. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2643

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9315 for After Final communications.

AJ November 17, 2003

DUC NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER